

**ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
33502 DECATUR ROAD, SUITE 120
SAN DIEGO, CA 92133-1449**

RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

AGENDA

DATE: Tuesday evening, 12 July 1994

TIME: 6:30 - 8:30 PM

LOCATION: NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICER
(PAO) AUDITORIUM, BUILDING #201
(Enter NTC Gate 1 at Lytton and Barnett; maps to building will be
available from guard)

6:30 -6:45 WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

BRIEF OVERVIEW - Agenda and Meetings Objectives

MINUTES APPROVAL - June 28

6:45-8:15 RAB MEMBER PRESENTATION ON ENVIRONMENTAL
INVESTIGATIONS

8:15- 8:30 QUESTION AND ANSWER/PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

**ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
33502 DECATUR ROAD, SUITE 120
SAN DIEGO, CA 92133-1449**

Subject: RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES

The ninth Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting was held on Tuesday, July 12, 1994, at the Naval Training Center (NTC), PAO Auditorium #201 from 6:30 until 8:12 PM.

Mr. Phill Dyck, RAB Navy Co-Chair, called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM. As the RAB members have become familiar enough with each other to forgo introductions at each meeting, only the new attendees were asked to introduce themselves. The evening's agenda included minutes approval and a technical presentation.

Business Items

Approval of Minutes - It was moved and seconded to approve the minutes from the meeting of June 28, 1994; the motion was carried.

PRESENTATION ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION PROCESS

Mr. Dyck introduced the speaker for the evening, RAB member John Walton. Dr. Walton is an NTC neighbor and environmental consultant and has a Ph. D. in Chemistry.

Dr. Walton stated that he knows the environmental industry and could explain the environmental investigation process to RAB members in an understandable fashion. Mr. Larry Shaw, Dr. Walton's colleague and fellow RAB member, would follow with a discussion of Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA). Time permitting, Dr. Walton indicated he would discuss organic chemistry to help explain environmental contamination. The presentation was accompanied by overheads and handouts.

The following is a summary of Dr. Walton's and Mr. Shaw's presentations.

Regardless of applicable law (RCRA or CERCLA), the environmental investigation process proceeds through similar, well-defined steps: Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS), Remedial Action Plan (RAP), and Remediation. The steps are the same from law to law, but the names of each step may differ: e.g., under RCRA a Phase I ESA is a RCRA Facility Assessment ; under CERCLA it is a Preliminary Assessment. Likewise, for Phase II ESA, RCRA requires a RCRA Facility Investigation and CERCLA requires a

Site Investigation. The environmental investigation process always begins with Phase I and may or may not proceed further, depending on the result of the initial investigation.

A Phase I ESA is a historical and records search of the site and adjacent sites to determine the presence or likelihood of a release of hazardous substances. The outcome of the investigation is a "decision that a site has been or is likely to have been subject to a release, or no determination that known or suspected release constitutes contamination requiring remediation". All reports are presented in a well-defined format.

Before Phase II ESA fieldwork can begin, a Work Plan and a site-specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP) are developed, which the Phase II ESA follows. A Phase II ESA is the collection of surface and subsurface samples that are subjected to a broad spectrum of chemical analyses to determine if and which contaminants are present and the nature of the subsurface. The work is carried out by specialists: e.g., the HASP is written by a Certified Industrial Hygienist, lab specialists complete the chemical analyses, fieldwork is supervised by geologists, etc. If contamination is present, further investigation is warranted. The results and recommendations of the study are presented in a Phase I report.

Next, an RI/FS characterizes the site. Systematic random sampling is used to determine lateral and vertical extent of contamination from which a preliminary selection of remediation methodology is developed. The results of the RI frequently suggest an obvious choice of remediation method. The outcome is a definition of the extent of contamination and preliminary remedial method selection. In lieu of an FS, a "presumptive remedy" approach is often used because the universe of contaminants at certain kinds of sites is fairly predictable, and the study of the full range of possible remedies that was once standard practice under the FS is not time- or cost-effective. A presumptive remedy is a generic remedial solution based on lots of previous experience with similar classes of sites (e.g., landfills, underground tanks) with similar problems.

Recommended remediation is then discussed in a RAP, which compares remedial alternatives and provides clients, regulatory agencies, and the public with alternatives and a recommended remedial method. Factors to consider in making these recommendations include: risks to health and environment, cost, liability, treatment effectiveness, remediation time, impact on site operations, acceptance by regulators, etc.

Remedial Action applies the methodology from the RAP to the actual cleanup of the affected site. Before Remedial Action can begin, a Work Plan, site-specific HASP, and a community HASP are completed. Permits from a variety of regulatory agencies (e.g., Air Pollution Control District, Regional Water Quality Control Board, Department of Toxic Substances Control, etc.) are obtained and a Verification Sampling Plan is prepared. When work is finished a Closure Report is completed which explains that no further action required.

Dr. Walton introduced Larry Shaw, who spoke on Phase I ESA and American Society of Testing & Materials (ASTM) standards. Mr. Shaw stressed keeping in mind "recognized environmental conditions" when reviewing a Preliminary Assessment. An ESA should include records review, site visit, interviews, and a report. The report presents information on site ownership, historical uses of the site, surrounding properties, sensitive receptors, and regulatory compliance issues.

Dr. Walton then discussed environmental chemistry, focusing on organic chemistry and kinds of contaminants often encountered at hazardous waste sites; for example, chlorinated compounds, benzene/xylene/toluene, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and pesticides. The possibility of using bioremediation with some of these substances was briefly discussed. Dr. Walton's handout included a section (Appendix B: Contaminant Groups) from a document called Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix, July 1993, USEPA and USAF.

Mr. Dyck thanked Dr. Walton and Mr. Shaw for their presentations. There were no further announcements, and the meeting was adjourned at 8:12.

The Environmental Investigation Process

Process Overview: In general, regardless of the applicable law, i.e. RCRA, CERCLA, etc, the process proceeds through similar well-defined steps

Process Steps

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
aka RFA, RCRA Facility Assessment
PA, CERCLA Preliminary Assessment

Phase II Environmental Site Assessment
aka RFI, RCRA Facility Investigation
SI, CERCLA Site Investigation

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study

Remedial Action Plan

Remediation

The process always starts with Step 1 and may or may not proceed further depending on the result of the initial investigation.

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment

Overview: Historical and records search of the subject site and adjacent sites.

Purpose: Determine the presence or the likelihood of a release at the subject site.

Outcome: Decision that site has been or likely to have been subject to a release. No determination that known or suspected release constitutes contamination requiring remediation.

Documents: Final report in a well-defined format.

Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

Overview: Collection of judgmental samples of surface and subsurface (soil & water) followed by broad spectrum of chemical analysis

Purpose: Determine if contaminants and which contaminants are present

Outcome: 1) Are contaminants present

 2) Which contaminants present

 3) Nature of the subsurface

If contamination is present further investigation is warranted

Documents: Before field work

 Work Plan

 Specific Health & Safety Plan

After

 Results and recommendations

Documents typically go through a number of revisions, a draft document is assembled and reviewed by the client. After client comments a final document is sent to the LEA (Lead Regulatory Agency). A revised final report incorporating LEA comments becomes the working document

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study

Overview: Use systematic random sampling to perform site characterization. Feasibility studies deserve special attention!

Purpose: Determination of the lateral and vertical extent of contamination. Frequently, the results of the investigation suggest an obvious choice of remediation methodology.

Outcome: Definition of the extent of contamination, and preliminary selection of remediation methodology.

Documents:

Before field work
Work Plan
Site Specific HASP

After field work
Report: History
What was done
What it means, RISKS
What to do next

Remedial Action Plan

Overview: Present alternatives, compare alternatives, make selection of remedial alternative based on operative concerns. Factors considered include:

- Risks to public health and environment
- Cost
- Client liability
- Effectiveness of treatment
- Time required for remediation technique
- Impact on site operations
- Regulatory agency acceptance
- etc

Purpose: Provide the client and the regulatory agencies with alternatives and a recommended choice for remediation of a site.

Outcome: Course of action for elimination of environmental issues at the site.

Documents: Remedial Action Plan – draft, final revised

Remedial Action

Overview: Applying methodology from RAP to the actual clean-up of the impacted site.

Purpose: Pretty obvious

Outcome: A site which poses "no" threat to the public health or the environment.

Documents:

Before
Work Plan
Site specific HASP
Community HASP
Permits, APCD, LEA, RWQCB,
DTSC, Fire Dept., Planning
Dept., etc
Verification Sampling Plan

After

Closure Report

Environmental Chemistry

Classes of Chemical Species

Inorganics: substances made up of elements from the left and center of the periodic table, often including elements from the far right

Organics: substances composed of Carbon, C, and hydrogen, H, often including other elements from the right side of the periodic table. Especially problematic when other elements means a halogen.

Fig. 1.1. Transition and alkali metals and non-metals essential for life.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

(H)	Li	Be															He
(Na)	Mg											B	C	N	O	F	Ne
(K)	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Cl	Ne
Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	I	Kr
Cs	Ba	Ln	Hf	Ta	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	Tl	Pb	Bi	Po	At	Rn

O Bulk biological elements

□ Trace elements essentials for life

□ Possibly essential trace elements

APPENDIX B: CONTAMINANT GROUPS

Major contaminant groups used in the *Matrix* are:

- (1) Halogenated volatiles
 - (2) Halogenated semivolatiles
 - (3) Non-halogenated volatiles
 - (4) Non-halogenated semivolatiles
 - (5) Fuel Hydrocarbons
 - (6) Pesticides
 - (7) Inorganics

These major groups include the contaminants listed below. These are not comprehensive lists, but they contain examples of contaminants encountered at many sites.

(1) Halogenated Volatiles

Bromodichloromethane	1,1,2-Trichloroethane
Bromoform	Trichloroethylene
Bromomethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane (Freon 113)
Carbon tetrachloride	Vinyl chloride
Chlorodibromomethane	
Chloroethane	
Chloroform	
Chloromethane	
Chloropropane	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)ether
Cis-1,2-dichloroethylene	1,2-Bis(2-chloroethoxy)ethane
Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane
Dibromomethane	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)phthalate
1,1-Dichloroethane	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether
1,2-Dichloroethane	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether
1,2-Dichloroethene	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether
1,1-Dichloroethylene	4-Chloroaniline
Dichloromethane	p-Chloro-m-cresol
1,2-Dichloropropane	2-Chloronaphthalene
Ethylene dibromide	2-Chlorophenol
Fluorotrichloromethane (Freon 11)	4-Chlorophenyl phenylether
Hexachloroethane	1,2-Dichlorobenzene
Monochlorobenzene	1,3-Dichlorobenzene
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene
Tetrachloroethylene (Perchloroethylene)	3,3-Dichlorobenzidine
1,2-Trans-dichloroethylene	
Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	

Halogenated Semivolatiles (Con'd.)

2,4-Dichlorophenol
Hexachlorobenzene
Hexachlorobutadiene
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
Pentachlorophenol
Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)
Tetrachlorophenol
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol

Dimethyl phthalate
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol
2,4-Dinitrophenol
2,4-Dinitrotoluene
2,6-Dinitrotoluene
Di-n-octyl phthalate
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine
Isophorone
2-Nitroaniline
3-Nitroaniline
4-Nitroaniline
2-Nitrophenol
4-Nitrophenol
n-Nitrosodimethylamine
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine
n-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine
Phenyl naphthalene

(3) Non-Halogenated Volatiles

Acetone
Acrolein
Acrylonitrile
n-Butyl alcohol
Carbon disulfide
Cyclohexanone
Ethyl acetate
Ethyl ether
2-Hexanone
Isobutanol
Methanol
Methyl ethyl ketone
Methyl isobutyl ketone
4-Methyl-2-pentanone
Styrene
Tetrahydrofuran
Vinyl acetate

(5) Fuel Hydrocarbons

Acenaphthene
Anthracene
Benz(a)anthracene
Benzene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene
Benzo(ghi)perylene
Benzo(a)pyrene
Chrysene
Cis-2-butene
Cresols
Cyclohexane
Cyclopentane
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene
2,3-Dimethylbutane
3,3-Dimethyl-1-butene
Dimethylethylbenzene
2,2-Dimethylheptane
2,2-Dimethylhexane
2,2-Dimethylpentane
2,3-Dimethylpentane
2,4-Dimethylphenol
Ethylbenzene
3-Ethylpentane
Fluoranthene
Fluorene

(4) Non-Halogenated Semivolatiles

Benzidine
Benzoic acid
Benzyl alcohol
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate
Bis phthalate
Butyl benzyl phthalate
Dibenzofuran
Di-n-butyl phthalate
Diethyl phthalate

Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Isobutane	1,2,4-Trimethyl-5-ethylbenzene
Isopentane	2,2,4-Trimethylheptane
2-Methyl-1,3-butadiene	2,3,4-Trimethylheptane
3-Methyl-1,2-butadiene	3,3,5-Trimethylheptane
2-Methyl-butene	2,4,4-Trimethylhexane
2-Methyl-2-butene	3,3,4-Trimethylhexane
3-Methyl-1-butene	2,2,4-Trimethylpentane
Methylcyclohexane	2,3,4-Trimethylpentane
Methylcyclopentane	Trans-2-butene
2-Methylheptane	Trans-2-pentene
3-Methylheptane	
3-Methylhexane	
Methylnaphthalene	
2-Methylnaphthalene	(6) Pesticides
2-Methylpentane	Aldrin
3-Methylpentane	Bhc-alpha
3-Methyl-1-pentene	Bhc-beta
2-Methylphenol	Bhc-delta
4-Methylphenol	Bhc-gamma
Methylpropylbenzene	Chlordane
M-Xylene	4,4'-DDD
Naphthalene	4,4'-DDE
N-Butane	4,4'-DDT
N-Decane	Dieldrin
N-Dodecane	Endosulfan I
N-Heptane	Endosulfan II
N-Hexane	Endosulfan sulfate
N-Hexylbenzene	Endrin
Nitrobenzene	Endrin aldehyde
N-Nonane	Ethion
N-Octane	Ethyl parathion
N-Pentane	Heptachlor
N-Propylbenzene	Heptachlor epoxide
N-Undecane	Malathion
O-Xylene	Methylparathion
1-Pentene	Parathion
Phenanthrene	Toxaphene
Phenol	
Propane	
P-Xylene	
Pyrene	(7) Inorganics
Pyridine	Aluminum
1,2,3,4-Tetramethylbenzene	Antimony
1,2,4,5-Tetramethylbenzene	Arsenic
Toluene	Asbestos
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	Barium
	Beryllium

Bismuth
Cadmium
Calcium
Chromium
Cobalt
Copper
Cyanide
Fluorine
Iron
Lead
Magnesium
Manganese
Mercury
Metallic cyanides
Nickel
Potassium
Selenium
Sodium
Tin
Vanadium
Zinc



REMEDIATION TECHNOLOGIES SCREENING MATRIX



NOTE: There are factors that may limit the applicability and effectiveness of any of the technologies and processes listed below. These factors are discussed in the *Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix Reference Guide*. This Matrix should always be used in conjunction with the *Reference Guide*, which contains additional information that can be useful in identifying potentially applicable technologies.

^a The listing of contaminant groups is intended as a general reference only. A technology may treat only selected compounds within the contaminant groups listed. Further investigation is necessary to determine applicability to specific contaminants.

b The definition of this factor is not applicable to these technologies. They are, by design, the final step in treatment processes.

* Conventional technologies/processes

Contaminant Codes

- 1 - Halogenated volatile organics
 - 2 - Halogenated semivolatile organics
 - 3 - Non-halogenated volatile organics
 - 4 - Non-halogenated semivolatile organics
 - 5 - Fuel hydrocarbons
 - 6 - Pesticides
 - 7 - Inorganics

Target contaminants are listed first and in bold type

Rating Codes

- Better
 - Average
 - Worse
 - Inadequate information
 - Not applicable

Distribution:
VCH Verlagsgesellschaft, P.O. Box 101161, D-6940 Weinheim (Federal Republic of Germany)
USA and Canada: VCH Publishers, 220 East 23rd Street, New York, NY 10010-4606 (USA)
UK and Ireland: VCH Publishers (UK) Ltd., 8 Wellington Court, Wellington St., Cambridge CB1 1HZ (UK)
Order number 1010109

$[Xe]5d\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^2\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^2\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^2\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^2\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 6s^2$	$[Xe]4f^1\ 5d\ 6s^2$
3 187.0 ± 169.1 ± 116.1 ± 2.52(3)	4,3 182.5 165.1 ± 117.1 ± 2.48(3)	4,3 182.0 ± 165.1 ± 117.1 ± 2.35(3)	3 181.4 164.9 ± 116.1 ± 2.43(3)	3 163.9 ± 114.1 ± 2.29(3)	3.2 162.9 ± 113.1 ± 112.1 ± 2.30(3)	3.2 195.3 165.9 ± 111.1 ± 2.40(3)	3 178.2 161.9 ± 111.1 ± 2.29(3)	3 178.3 159.9 ± 110.1 ± 2.30(3)	4.3 175.2 159.9 ± 106.1 ± 2.29(3)	3 174.3 158.9 ± 105.1 ± 2.30(3)	3 173.4 157.5 ± 106.1 ± 2.31(3)	3 172.4 156.7 ± 105.1 ± 2.31(3)	3.2 191.0 174.5 ± 111.1 ± 2.22(3)	3 171.8 156.4 ± 103.1 ± 2.30(3)	3 191.0 174.5 ± 111.1 ± 2.22(3)	3 171.8 156.4 ± 103.1 ± 2.30(3)	
57 La Lanthanum	58 Ce Cerium	59 Pr Praseodymium	60 Nd Neodymium	61 Sm Promethium	62 Eu Samarium	63 Gd Europium	64 Tb Gadolinium	65 Dy Terbium	66 Ho Dysprosium	67 Er Holmium	68 Tm Thulium	69 Yb Erbium	70 Lu Ytterbium	71 Lu Lutetium			
$[Rn]6d7s^2$	$[Rn]6d^2\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^2\ 6d\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^1\ 6d\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^2\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^1\ 6d\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^2\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^1\ 6d\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^2\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^1\ 6d\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^2\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^1\ 6d\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^2\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^1\ 6d\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^2\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^1\ 6d\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^2\ 7s^2$	$[Rn]5f^1\ 6d\ 7s^2$
3 187.8 -2.13(3)	4 179.6 ± 165.1 ± 117.1 ± 19.0(4)	5,4 156.1 ± 117.1 ± 14.9(3)	6,5,4,3 135.1 ± 112.1 ± 11.9(3)	6,5,4,3 130.0 ± 107.1 ± 10.4(3)	6,5,4,3 151.9 ± 111.1 ± 11.1(3)	6,5,4,3 155.1 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	6,5,4,3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	6,5,4,3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	4,3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	4,3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	4,3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	4,3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)	3 151.9 ± 108.1 ± 10.1(3)
89 Ac Actinium	90 Th Thorium	91 Pa Protactinium	92 U Uranium	93 Np Neptunium	94 Pu Plutonium	95 Am Americium	96 Cm Curium	97 Bk Berkelium	98 Cf Californium	99 Es Einsteinium	100 Fm Fermium	101 Md Mendelevium	102 No Nobelium	103 Lr Lawrencium			

ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT (ESA) aka Phase I

The process by which a person or entity seeks to determine if a particular parcel or real property is subject to any *RECOGNIZED ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS*.

"Recognized environmental conditions is defined as the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products on a property under conditions that indicate an existing release, a past release, or a material threat or a release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products into structures on the property or into the ground, groundwater, or surface water of the property."

Objectives: _____

- locate onsite recognized environmental conditions associated with present or past practices involving use, storage, or disposal of hazardous materials
- locate offsite recognized environmental conditions that could or are currently migrating onto subject site
- determine potential liabilities from offsite contamination on neighboring properties.

Four Components: _____

- Records Review • Interviews • Site Visit • Report

1) Records Review

- EHS/Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST)
- RWQCB - Notice of Violations
- DTSC/HWLST - Releases of Hazardous Waste
- CERCLIS - Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System - EPA
- NPL - National Priorities List - EPA

Approximate Minimum Search Distance (miles)

- Federal NPL site list..... 1.0
- Federal CERCLIS list 0.5
- Federal RCRA RSD property/adjoining properties facilities list
- Federal ERNS list property only
- State lists of hazardous waste sites 1.0 or remediation (NPL and CERCLIS equivalents)
- State landfill and/or solid waste 0.5 disposal site lists
- State leaking UST lists 0.5
- State registered property/adjoining properties UST Lists

2) Site Visit

Visually and physically observe the property and any structure(s) located on the property.

- **Current uses of adjoining properties**
- **Evidence of past uses of the property**
- **Current uses of the property**
- **Topographic conditions of the property**
- **Storage tanks - appurtenances**
- **Odors**
- **Pools of liquid**
- **Drums**
- **Pits, ponds, lagoons**
- **Stained or soiled pavement**
- **Stressed vegetation - other than lack of water**

3) Interviews

Obtain information indicating recognized environmental conditions in connection with the property.

- **Site Manager (identify prior to site visit) asked if there are any of the following documents available:**
 - **Environmental Site Assessments**
 - **Environmental Audits**
 - **Environmental Permits (i.e. Solid Waste Disposal, Hazardous Waste Disposal, Wastewater, NPDES)**
 - **Registration for underground or above ground storage tanks**
 - **Material Safety Data Sheets - MSDS**
 - **Community Right-to-Know Plan**
 - **Safety Plans - Spill Prevention Countermeasure and Control Plans SPCC**
 - **Notices or correspondence from any government agency relating to past or current violations of environmental laws**
 - **Geotechnical Studies**
 - **Any proceedings involving the property: past, pending or threatened litigation relevant to recognized environmental conditions**

3) Interviews - Cont'd

- **Local Government Officials**
 - Fire department that serves property
 - Local office of State Health Department
 - Local office of Environmental Health Services (EHS)
 - Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)
 - Air Pollution Control District (APCD)

4) Report

- **Report Format**
- **Site Ownership**
 - Physical setting using USGS 7.5 mm topo map
 - Physical setting using information from site visit
- **Historical Uses**
- **Surrounding Properties - current & historical uses**
- **Sensitive Receptors**
 - wells, surface water, residences
- **Regulatory Compliance Issues**
 - permits, USTs, transfer storage disposal facilities